UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,569	02/25/2005	Terry Cassaday	56836.40/ejg	3042
33797 MILLER THO	7590 07/06/200 MPSON, LLP	EXAMINER		
Scotia Plaza	,	MCPARTLIN, SARAH BURNHAM		
40 King Street TORONTO, Ol	West, Suite 5800 N M5H 3S1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA		3636		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/525,569	CASSADAY, TERRY	
Examiner	A ( 1 1 14	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	SARAIT B. WICHARTLIN	3636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	r).						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	"		5.11				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a limit in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a limit in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a limit in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a limit in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a limit in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a limit in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a limit in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a limit in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a limit in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a limit in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s).</li> </ol>			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E below),					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for				
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>30-47</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							
	/Sarah B. McPartlin/						
	Examiner, Art Unit 3636						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New issues are presented in claim 30, lines 7-8; claim 35, lines 6-8; claim 37, lines 2-3; claim 44, lines 1-3; claim 45 and claim 47, lines 6-7. Despite the fact that an interview was conducted to discuss claim amendments along the lines of those set forth in applicant's 30 June 2009 submission, further consideration of the prior art of record in addition to an updated search is required.